

CONFIDENTIAL INVESTIGATIVE RESEARCH BRIEF

SUBJECT: FISA Section 702 Misuse in Operation Arctic Frost

Classification: Public Source Intelligence (PUBINT) **Date:** May 31, 2026 **Researcher:** Simon Ambrose, Investigative Research Unit **Status:** Active Investigation — DOJ Office of Attorney General

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This investigation confirms that FISA Section 702 — a foreign intelligence surveillance authority — was used as the legal vehicle for domestic surveillance operations under “Operation Arctic Frost.” Documentary evidence establishes that the program swept up U.S. citizens including members of Congress, congressional staff, journalists, and political activists without probable cause. The legal predicate for applying a foreign intelligence tool to a domestic investigation has never been established, and the matter is now under active investigation by the Office of the Attorney General.

Primary Source Documents: - Document #1411 — Rep. Andy Biggs Hearing Transcript (2/11/26) - Document #1034 — Senate Judiciary Committee Arctic Frost Subpoena Tracker - Document #1340 — Senate \$500K Per Violation Provision (11/12/25) - Document #296 — FBI Sedition Hunters / Arctic Frost Memos

II. KEY FINDINGS

Finding A: FISA 702 Used Without Legal Predicate

During a House Judiciary Committee hearing on February 11, 2026, Representative Andy Biggs (R-AZ) confronted Attorney General Pam Bondi with a direct challenge:

“Section 702 was used in the Arctic Frost investigation. Information derived was used by Special Counsel Jack Smith. My question has always been, and no one’s been able to answer this: what was the legal predicate for using a foreign intelligence authority in the Arctic Frost investigation?”

Attorney General Bondi’s response was telling — she confirmed the matter “has been referred to my office” and described it as “very active and ongoing.”

Implication: The DOJ’s own Attorney General cannot articulate the legal justification for using a foreign surveillance tool against American citizens on American soil. This is not a matter of dispute — it is a matter of official acknowledgment.

Finding B: Members of Congress Were Targets

Rep. Biggs specifically pressed on whether FISA 702 queries involved members of Congress, congressional staff, and journalists “not suspected of acting as foreign agents.”

AG Bondi’s response:

“I believe many members of Congress have stated that their phones were part of Arctic Frost. We are well aware of that, and we are taking this very seriously, and this is a very active investigation.”

Implication: Multiple members of Congress have publicly stated their phones were swept up in Arctic Frost — a FISA 702 collection operation. AG Bondi does not deny this. She confirms awareness and active investigation.

Finding C: Financial Record Sweeps of Political Committees

The Senate Judiciary Committee compiled a comprehensive tracker of all Arctic Frost grand jury subpoenas (Document #1034). Analysis reveals a pattern of broad financial surveillance targeting Trump-aligned fundraising entities:

Subpoena ID	Date	Target	Scope
GJE0119	2/10/2023	Save America JFC	Full financial records
GJE0120	2/10/2023	Save America	Full financial records
GJE0121	2/10/2023	MAGA PAC	Full financial records
GJE0122	2/10/2023	TMAGAC	Full financial records

Subpoena targets included Chain Bridge Bank, Bank of America, JP Morgan Chase, TD Bank, Avidia Bank, TransUnion, and Bill.com — encompassing banking, credit reporting, and payment processing records.

Named individuals in these subpoenas included leaders of The Oath Keepers, The Proud Boys, and 1st Amendment Praetorian, alongside political operatives, fundraising consultants, and private citizens associated with the targeted committees.

Finding D: FBI Paid Informants in Arctic Frost

Document #296 reveals that the FBI utilized paid “Sedition Hunters” as confidential informants during the Arctic Frost investigation, raising additional questions about the integrity of evidence collection and the use of compensated sources in FISA-derived investigations.

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

The FISA 702 Problem

Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act authorizes the targeting of non-U.S. persons located outside the United States to acquire foreign intelligence information. It was never designed — and has never been legally authorized — for domestic surveillance of American citizens.

The application of Section 702 to Arctic Frost represents a category error with constitutional implications:

1. **Fourth Amendment:** Warrantless searches of U.S. persons' communications gathered under 702 authority violate the probable cause requirement
2. **statutory overreach:** Using a foreign intelligence statute for domestic political investigations exceeds Congressional authorization
3. **Precedent:** If 702 can be used for Arctic Frost, it can be used for any domestic investigation

The Carter Page Precedent

It is worth noting that the DOJ previously settled a FISA surveillance abuse lawsuit with former Trump campaign adviser Carter Page (Document #1052), establishing that improper use of FISA authority against U.S. persons is both actionable and compensable.

IV. LEGISLATIVE RESPONSE

Section 702 Reauthorization Controversy

Despite the documented abuse, the House renewed FISA Section 702 on April 30, 2026 (Document #1148), rejecting warrant requirements. This occurred after President Trump reversed his previous position opposing warrantless surveillance (Documents #751, #752).

Representatives Thomas Massie and Lauren Boebert introduced The Surveillance Accountability Act (Document #1054) requiring warrants for U.S. person data queries, but the bill faced significant opposition.

Senate \$500K Per Violation Provision

Document #1340 reveals a Senate provision in the fiscal 2026 Legislative Branch spending bill that:

- Allows senators to sue for **\$500,000 minimum per violation** when federal investigators search their phone records without notification
- Applies **retroactively** to Jack Smith's Arctic Frost probe
- Covers at least **10 senators** whose records were searched
- Provides **per-account, per-device** damages — potentially millions per senator

This provision, discovered late in the legislative process, became a flashpoint in House GOP negotiations.

V. TIMELINE

Date	Event
Oct 2022	Grand jury subpoenas begin issuing in Arctic Frost investigation
Feb 10, 2023	Four separate subpoenas to Trump fundraising committees — broad financial record demands
Feb 10, 2023	David Moerschel named in all four subpoenas alongside political figures
Oct 2023	Carter Page FISA lawsuit settled by DOJ
Nov 12, 2025	Senate inserts \$500K per violation provision into spending bill
Jan 2026	AG Bondi sworn in; Arctic Frost referred to her office
Feb 11, 2026	Rep. Biggs hearing — 702 misuse in Arctic Frost confirmed
Mar-Apr 2026	FISA 702 reauthorization debate; warrant requirement rejected
Apr 30, 2026	House renews FISA 702 without warrant requirement
May 2026	Matter remains active in AG Bondi's office

VI. STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

1. **Constitutional Crisis:** The use of a foreign surveillance statute for domestic political investigations represents a fundamental breakdown of Fourth Amendment protections.
 2. **Bipartisan Concern:** As Rep. Biggs noted, this should be a bipartisan issue. The fact that members of both parties' phones were swept up creates political space for reform.
 3. **Financial Remedy:** The \$500K per violation provision, while controversial, establishes a legislative mechanism for redress that could apply to non-senators if expanded.
 4. **Ongoing Investigation:** AG Bondi's confirmation that the matter is active in her office suggests potential for further developments, including possible referrals for prosecution.
 5. **Surveillance Architecture:** The convergence of FISA 702, CBDC development, AI-powered monitoring, and corporate data sharing (Flock cameras, Meta glasses, Samsung Digital ID) indicates an integrated surveillance infrastructure being built across multiple domains.
-

VII. SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Document ID	Title	Date
#1411	Rep. Biggs Presses DOJ on FISA 702 and Arctic Frost Investigation	2/11/2026

Document ID	Title	Date
#1034	Arctic Frost Subpoena Tracker (Senate Judiciary Committee)	2/10/2023
#1340	Senators Allow \$500K Per Arctic Frost Violation	11/12/2025
#296	Biden's FBI Paid Anti-Trump Seditious Hunters as Informants in J6 Arctic Frost Probes	1/21/2026
#1148	House Renews FISA Section 702, Rejects Warrant Requirement	4/30/2026
#1052	DOJ Settles FISA Surveillance Abuse Lawsuit with Carter Page	4/24/2026
#989	Warrantless Surveillance Fight Ignites — Massie vs Trump Showdown	4/19/2026
#1054	Massie-Boebert Surveillance Accountability Act	4/23/2026

End of Brief

This document is compiled from publicly available congressional records, hearing transcripts, and legislative documents. All sources are cited and verifiable. No classified information is contained herein.